

Transport Theory in the Context of the Normalized Generalized Statistics

F. Q. Potiguar and U. M. S. Costa

Universidade Federal do Ceará, Departamento de Física, Campus do Pici, 60455-760 Fortaleza, Ce, Brazil
(February 1, 2008)

In this work assuming valid the equipartition theorem and using the normalized q -expectation value, we obtain, until first order approximation, the hydrodynamics equation for the generalized statistics. This equations are different from those obtained in the context of the Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. This difference is that now appears two transport coefficient that depend on the q -value.

PACS number(s): 05.20.-y, 05.20.Jj, 05.20.Gg

I. INTRODUCTION

The Generalized Statistics was first proposed by Tsallis [1] in 1988. It has attracted a lot of attention lately for it has been well applied to many systems, like fully developed turbulence [2] and self-gravitating systems [3]. For a periodically updated list please see reference [4]. This generalization is based on the new entropic form, namely:

$$S_q = k_B \frac{1 - \sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q}{1 - q} \quad (1)$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, p_i is the probability that the system is in a state labeled by i , q is a real parameter and the sum runs over all the allowed states. This entropy has the essential feature that it is non-extensive (non-additive). For two independent systems, i.e., for two systems which have independent probabilities: $p^{(AUB)} = p^{(A)}p^{(B)}$, the entropy of the whole system is written as:

$$S_q^{(AUB)} = S_q^{(A)} + S_q^{(B)} + (1 - q)S_q^{(A)}S_q^{(B)} \quad (2)$$

At that time it was used to calculate the physical quantities average values without proper normalization. Later Tsallis, Mendes and Plastino [5] have introduced a new form of calculating expectation values, the so called normalized q -expectation value. Let O be some physical observable, its expectation value, in this context, is given by:

$$\langle O \rangle_q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q O_i}{\sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q} \quad (3)$$

where:

$$p_i = [1 - (1 - q)\beta(H_i - U_q)]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \quad (4)$$

is the canonical ensemble distribution function, with U_q being the q -average internal energy known, a priori, from experiment.

Tsallis introduced a cut-off condition [1] in this formalism. It says that if the argument of the distribution

function is negative, this distribution vanishes, in other words, if $1 - (1 - q)\beta(H_i - U_q) < 0$, $p_i = 0$.

In 1999, Boghosian [8] has obtained the hydrodynamic equations for the generalized statistics. He calculated them using the unnormalized q -expectation value and the well known Chapman-Enskog expansion. He showed that the equation of state and the internal energy for the ideal gas are q -dependent. He showed also that, in first order approximation, the conservation equations don't change and, in second order approximation, only the energy conservation equation change.

The first change is that the thermal conductivity coefficient is now q -dependent. It is given by, in the three-dimensional case:

$$K_B = \frac{1}{A_q + (1 - q)} \frac{5\tau\rho}{3m\beta} \quad (5)$$

where:

$$A_q = 1 + (1 - q)\frac{3}{2} \quad (6)$$

τ is the expansion parameter, ρ is the mass density of the system and β is the inverse of the temperature.

There was, also, the appearance of a new term in this equation, a gradient of pressure, with a new transport coefficient, which was called anomalous transport coefficient:

$$T_q = \frac{1 - q}{A_q[A_q + (1 - q)]} \frac{5\tau\rho}{3m\beta} \quad (7)$$

Obviously this coefficient vanishes in the extensive limit $q \rightarrow 1$.

We propose in this paper to obtain the hydrodynamic equations using a different method and using the normalized q -expectation value. We'll see that these new features will led us to the correct equation of state for the ideal gas and to different dependence on q of the transport coefficient. In section two we obtain the Conservation Theorems for the conserved quantities in molecular collisions, we make the zero order approximation, that corresponds to the first order approximation in Boghosian [8] calculations and we present the first order approximation (Boghosian second order approximation).

Finally we presents our results and conclusion in section three.

II. NORMALIZED HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

A. Conservation Theorems

Here we present our way to obtain the hydrodynamic equations. We'll follow reference [10] and assume the energy equipartition theorem to be valid [7]. We are studying an ideal gas in the 6-dimensional phase space. The one particle hamiltonian for this system reads:

$$H = \frac{m}{2} U^2$$

where $U_i = v_i - u_i$, with $u_i = \langle v_i \rangle$ the average velocity and $\langle U_i \rangle = 0$, m stands for the mass of the molecule and the index i runs from 1 to 3 and indicates the components of the velocity.

It consists in obtaining the equations which give the transport of the molecular quantities that are conserved in a molecular collision. To do this, we assume first that we are dealing only with binary collision and that the two colliding molecules are labeled 1 and 2. We are studying only the molecule 1. We also assume that the molecular chaos is still valid.

To obtain the conservation theorems we consider the Boltzmann transport equation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_i \partial_i + \frac{F_i}{m} \partial_{v_i} \right) F_1 = \int d^3 v_2 d^3 v_{f_1} d^3 v_{f_2} \delta^4(V_f - V_i) |T_{fi}|^2 (F_{f_2} F_{f_1} - F_2 F_1) \quad (8)$$

where v_i are the components of the thermal velocity of the molecules, F_i are the components of the external forces, which are considered here velocity independent, the index 2, f_1, f_2 refers to the second molecule before the collision and to the both molecules after the collision, the 4-dimensional delta function and the transition matrix elements T_{fi} are related to the differential scattering cross section of the collision and the term between parenthesis is the molecular chaos assumption. F_1 is the particle density which is the solution for (8) and is unknown.

The equilibrium distribution function is given by the escort distribution:

$$F_q = n \frac{\left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta H \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta H \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}} d^3 U} \quad (9)$$

where β is the inverse of the temperature $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$. The factor n is the particle density. It appears here because

this distribution is described in the one particle phase space, so it is not simply a probability distribution, it is the number of particles in the system which have position between $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{x} + d\vec{x}$ and velocity between $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{v} + d\vec{v}$. This function is related to the escort probability distribution through the relation $F_q = n P_q$. The normalization condition reads $\int F_q d^3 U = n$.

We define a collision conserved quantity $\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t)$, which have the conservation property $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = \chi_{f_1} + \chi_{f_2}$. The following theorem can be proved:

$$\int d^3 v_1 \chi_1(\vec{x}, \vec{v}) \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} \right)_{coll} = 0 \quad (10)$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} \right)_{coll}$ is the collisional integral, making the changing of variables below:

First: $\vec{v}_1 \rightarrow \vec{v}_2$ and vice-versa.

Second: $\vec{v}_1 \rightarrow \vec{v}_{f_1}$, $\vec{v}_2 \rightarrow \vec{v}_{f_2}$ and vice-versa.

Third: $\vec{v}_1 \rightarrow \vec{v}_{f_2}$, $\vec{v}_2 \rightarrow \vec{v}_{f_1}$ and vice-versa.

As these changes don't affect the transition matrix elements T_{fi} and the delta function, we add each of the integrals obtained with equation (10) and divide the result by 4. We end up with:

$$\int d^3 v \chi \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} \right)_{col} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 v_1 d^3 v_2 d^3 v_{f_1} d^3 v_{f_2} \delta^4(P_f - P_i) \times |T_{fi}|^2 (F_{f_2} F_{f_1} - F_2 F_1) (\chi_1 + \chi_2 - \chi_{f_1} - \chi_{f_2})$$

Through the conservation of χ_1 , the theorem is proved. Using equation (8), we have, changing the volume element form $d^3 v$ to $d^3 U$:

$$\int d^3 U_1 \chi_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_i \partial_i + \frac{F_i}{m} \partial_{v_i} \right) F_1(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Defining the expectation value as:

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \int F_1 O d^3 U \quad (12)$$

and rearranging terms in (11), we have the desired conservation theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle n \chi_1 \rangle + \partial_i \langle n v_i \chi_1 \rangle - n \langle v_i \partial_i \chi_1 \rangle \\ - \frac{n}{m} F_i \langle \partial_{v_i} \chi_1 \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now we calculate the above equation for the three conserved quantities, namely mass, momentum and energy. For the mass, $\chi_1 = m$, we have:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \partial_i (\rho u_i) = 0 \quad (14)$$

where:

$$\rho = mn(\vec{x}, t) \quad (15)$$

is the mass density of the system.
For the momentum, $\chi_1 = mv_i$, we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho u_i) + \partial_j(\rho < U_i U_j >) + \partial_j(\rho u_i u_j) = \rho \frac{F_i}{m} \quad (16)$$

Finally for the energy, $\chi_1 = \frac{m}{2}U^2$, we have, using the mass conservation equation (14):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) + u_i \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) \\ & + \frac{2m\rho}{3} \partial_i u_j < U_i U_j > + \frac{m}{3} \partial_i (\rho < U_i U^2 >) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we used the fact that the internal energy for this system is given by the classical energy equipartition theorem. All we have to do now is calculate the two expectation values in order to obtain the hydrodynamic equation for the generalized statistics.

B. The Zero Order Approximation

To obtain the exact equations, we should use the solution for (8) F_1 . As we don't still have this solution, we must approximate it. We assume that the system, locally, obeys equation (9). This is the local equilibrium assumption. We impose a space and time dependence of the macroscopic parameters number density n , average velocity u_i and temperature $\frac{1}{\beta}$. Hence the distribution function reads:

$$F_q^{(0)} = n \frac{[f^{(0)}]^{\frac{q}{1-q}}}{\int [f^{(0)}]^{\frac{q}{1-q}} d^3U} = \frac{n}{I} [f^{(0)}]^{\frac{q}{1-q}}$$

The integral in the denominator is readily calculated:

$$I = \int \left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta \frac{m}{2} U^2 \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}} d^3U$$

Using the spherical volume element and making the following change of variable:

$$U = \left(\left| \frac{A_q}{1-q} \right| \frac{2}{m\beta} \right)^{1/2} x^{1/2} \quad (18)$$

we have:

$$I = 2\pi \left(\left| \frac{A_q}{1-q} \right| \frac{2}{m\beta} \right)^{3/2} \int x^{1/2} (1 - \sigma x)^{\frac{q}{1-q}} dx \quad (19)$$

where σ is the signal of $\frac{1-q}{A_q}$. It should be noticed that this normalization factor depends only on the temperature, as in BG statistics.

We must calculate the quantity $< U_i U_j >_q$:

$$< U_i U_j >_q = \frac{1}{n} \int U_i U_j F_q^{(0)} d^3U$$

$$= \frac{1}{I} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} U_i U_j \left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta \frac{m}{2} U^2 \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}} d^3U$$

Utilizing parity arguments we can show that this integral will be non-zero only for $i = j$, so we have, changing volume element and the variables as was done in the last calculation we obtain:

$$< U_i U_j >_q = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \left| \frac{A_q}{1-q} \right| \frac{2}{m\beta} \frac{\int x^{3/2} (1 - \sigma x)^{\frac{q}{1-q}} dx}{\int x^{1/2} (1 - \sigma x)^{\frac{q}{1-q}} dx}$$

For $\sigma = +1$, these integrals are made in the interval between 0 and 1, due to Tsallis cut-off condition, and give the result:

$$< U_i U_j >_q = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{m\beta} \quad (20)$$

For $\sigma = -1$, the integrals are calculated between 0 and $+\infty$ and give the same result.

The other expectation value, $< U_i U^2 >_q$ vanishes in this approximation because it is an odd function.

The mass conservation equation is the same in all orders of approximation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \partial_i(\rho u_i) = 0$$

The momentum conservation equation is written as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho u_i) + \partial_j(\rho u_i u_j) + \partial_j \left(\delta_{ij} \frac{\rho}{m\beta} \right) = \rho \frac{F_i}{m}$$

Using the mass equation, we have:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_j \partial_j \right] u_i + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i \left(\frac{\rho}{m\beta} \right) = \frac{F_i}{m} \quad (21)$$

The term $\frac{\rho}{m\beta}$ is defined as the pressure of the system:

$$P = \frac{n}{\beta}$$

$$PV = N k_B T \quad (22)$$

We recognize this equation as the equation of state of the ideal gas. As we can see it is independent of q .

The energy conservation equation is written as:

$$\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho u_i \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_i(\rho u_i) + \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\rho}{\beta} \partial_j u_i = 0$$

Again using the equation for the mass and dividing by ρ :

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_i \partial_i \right] \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{2}{3} (\partial_i u_i) \frac{1}{\beta} = 0 \quad (23)$$

We conclude that, in the zero order approximation, the hydrodynamic equations are q -invariant and we obtained the correct equation of state for the ideal gas.

C. The First Order Approximation

To obtain the fully hydrodynamic equations a solution of Boltzmann transport equation is needed to be used as the distribution function. Since this equation until now was not exactly solved, we made an approximation in the previous section. Now we add to this zero order approximation a correction to it. This procedure consist in writing this exact function as:

$$F_1 = F_q^{(0)} + F_q^{(1)}$$

where $F_q^{(1)}$ is the correction to the zero order approximation. It can be shown that this correction is given by the following relation [10]:

$$F_q^{(1)} = -\tau \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_i \partial_i + \frac{F_i}{m} \partial_{v_i} \right) F_q^{(0)}$$

where τ is a parameter of the order of magnitude of the collision time. We must calculate each of the derivatives of $F_q^{(0)}$. At first we evaluate the following derivatives. For the density we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[\frac{\rho}{mI} f^{(0) \frac{q}{1-q}} \right] \\ \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{\rho} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Second the average velocity:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial u_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \left[\frac{\rho}{mI} f^{(0) \frac{q}{1-q}} \right] \\ \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial u_i} &= \frac{q}{A_q} \beta m U_i \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Third the temperature:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)} \left[\frac{\rho}{mI} f^{(0) \frac{q}{1-q}} \right] \\ \frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)} &= \frac{q}{A_q} \beta^2 \frac{m}{2} U^2 \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} - \frac{3}{2} \beta F_q^{(0)} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The derivative of the distribution function with respect to the thermal velocity of the molecules is simply the derivative with respect to the average velocity with a changed signal:

$$\frac{\partial F_q^{(0)}}{\partial v_i} = -\frac{q}{A_q} \beta m U_i \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} \quad (27)$$

Using these results in the first order approximation function we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F_q^{(1)} &= -\frac{\tau}{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{q}{A_q} \frac{1}{f^{(0)}} \right) U_i \partial_i \rho F_q^{(0)} \\ &+ \tau \frac{q}{A_q} \frac{1}{f^{(0)}} \beta m \left(U_i U_j - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} U^2 \right) \partial_i u_j F_q^{(0)} \\ &+ \tau \beta \left[\frac{q}{A_q} \frac{1}{f^{(0)}} \left(\beta \frac{m}{2} U^2 - 1 \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right] U_i \partial_i \frac{1}{\beta} F_q^{(0)} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

This function is different from the one calculated by the Maxwell-Boltzmann function [10], it has the explicit dependence on q through the term $\frac{q}{A_q} \frac{1}{f^{(0)}}$, which is unity in the extensive limit.

The quantity $\langle U_i U_j \rangle_q$, in this order of approximation, is given by:

$$\langle U_i U_j \rangle_q = \frac{1}{n} \left[\int U_i U_j F_q^{(0)} d^3 U + \int U_i U_j F_q^{(1)} d^3 U \right]$$

The first term is simply $\frac{\delta_{ij}}{m\beta}$. The second term will give non-vanishing contribution only through the second term from equation (28), the others are odd functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_i U_j \rangle_q &= -\frac{q}{A_q} \tau \beta \frac{m}{n} \partial_l u_k \left[\int U_i U_j U_k U_l \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\delta_{kl}}{3} \int U_i U_j U^2 \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U \right] \end{aligned}$$

This equation is a traceless tensor and it suffices to calculate any component of it. Putting $i = 1$ and $j = 2$, we have the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1 U_2 \rangle_q &= -\frac{q}{A_q} \tau \beta \frac{m}{I} \partial_l u_k \int U_1 U_2 U_k U_l \\ &\quad \times \left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta \frac{m}{2} U^2 \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}-1} d^3 U \end{aligned}$$

This integral is non-zero only for $k = 1, 2$ and $l = 1, 2$. We have, writing $U_1 = U \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $U_2 = U \sin \theta \sin \phi$ and changing the volume element to a spherical one:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1 U_2 \rangle_q &= -\frac{q}{A_q} \tau \beta \frac{m}{I} (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) \\ &\quad \times \int U^6 \left[1 - \frac{1-q}{A_q} \beta \frac{m}{2} U^2 \right]^{\frac{q}{1-q}-1} dU \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\pi \sin^5 \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \phi d\phi \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (18) and (19), we have:

$$\langle U_1 U_2 \rangle_q = -\frac{q}{A_q} \tau \beta \frac{m}{15} (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1) \left(\frac{A_q}{1-q} \right)^2$$

$$\times \left(\frac{2}{m\beta} \right)^2 \frac{\int x^{5/2} (1 - \sigma x)^{\frac{q}{1-q}-1} dx}{\int x^{1/2} (1 - \sigma x)^{\frac{q}{1-q}} dx}$$

For the $\sigma = +1$ case, the above integrals give:

$$\langle U_1 U_2 \rangle_q = -\frac{\tau}{m\beta} (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1)$$

For the other case, $\sigma = -1$:

$$\langle U_1 U_2 \rangle_q = -\frac{\tau}{m\beta} (\partial_1 u_2 + \partial_2 u_1)$$

So the value of $\langle U_i U_j \rangle_q$ in this order can be written as:

$$\langle U_i U_j \rangle_q = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{m\beta} - \frac{\tau}{m\beta} \left(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k u_k \right) \quad (29)$$

This term doesn't change in this context.

The momentum conservation energy is written as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u_i) + \partial_j \left[\frac{\rho}{m\beta} - \frac{\tau\rho}{m\beta} (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k u_k) \right] + \partial_j (\rho u_i u_j) = \rho \frac{F_i}{m} \quad (30)$$

where the term $\frac{\tau\rho}{m\beta}$ is the viscosity coefficient of this system:

$$\mu = \frac{\tau\rho}{m\beta} \quad (31)$$

The other expectation value to be calculated is given by, where only the first and third terms of (28) will give non-vanishing contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_i U^2 \rangle_q &= -\frac{\tau}{n} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} \left(\int U^4 P_q^{(0)} d^3 U \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{q}{A_q} \int U^4 \frac{P_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U \right) + \beta \left(\beta \frac{m}{2} \frac{q}{A_q} \int U^6 \frac{P_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{q}{A_q} \int U^4 \frac{P_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U - \frac{3}{2} \int U^4 P_q^{(0)} d^3 U \right) \partial_j \frac{1}{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Calculating each integral separately, we have:

$$I_1 = \int U^4 F_q^{(0)} d^3 U$$

This integral is calculated in the same way that the others above, changing the volume elements, using equation (17) and observing the cut-off condition, we obtain:

$$I_1 = n \frac{A_q}{A_q + (1-q)} \frac{15}{(m\beta)^2} \quad (32)$$

The second integral is given by:

$$I_2 = \frac{q}{A_q} \int U^4 \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U$$

which results in:

$$I_2 = n \frac{15}{(m\beta)^2} \quad (33)$$

The last one is given by:

$$I_3 = \beta \frac{m}{2} \frac{q}{A_q} \int U^6 \frac{F_q^{(0)}}{f^{(0)}} d^3 U$$

Resulting in:

$$I_3 = n \frac{105}{2(m\beta)^2} \frac{A_q}{A_q + (1-q)} \quad (34)$$

Using the above results, the expectation value $\langle U_i U^2 \rangle_q$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_i U^2 \rangle_q &= \frac{1-q}{A_q + (1-q)} \frac{5\tau}{\rho(m\beta)^2} \partial_i \rho \\ &\quad - \frac{A_q - (1-q)}{A_q + (1-q)} \frac{5\tau}{m^2 \beta} \partial_i \frac{1}{\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The energy conservation equation is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2m} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\rho}{\beta} \right) + \frac{3}{2m} \partial_i \left(\frac{\rho}{\beta} u_i \right) + \partial_j u_i \left[\frac{\rho}{m\beta} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\tau\rho}{m\beta} \left(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k u_k \right) \right] + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \left[\frac{1-q}{A_q + (1-q)} \frac{5\tau}{(m\beta)^2} \partial_i \rho \right. \\ \left. - \frac{A_q - (1-q)}{A_q + (1-q)} \frac{5\tau\rho}{m^2 \beta} \partial_i \frac{1}{\beta} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the equation for the mass, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\beta} + u_i \partial_i \frac{1}{\beta} &= -\frac{2}{3\beta} \partial_j u_i + \frac{2\tau}{3\beta} (\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial_k u_k) \partial_j u_i - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i \left(K_q \partial_i \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{T_q}{\rho} \partial_i \frac{\rho}{\beta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where:

$$K_q = \frac{A_q}{A_q + (1 - q)} \frac{5\tau\rho}{3m\beta} \quad (37)$$

is the q -dependent thermal conductivity coefficient. This coefficient, due to its dependence on q , can assume positive, $q < 1, 4$ and $q > 1, 6$, or negative values, $1, 4 < q < 1, 6$. These negative values are non physical. Also we have defined:

$$T_q = \frac{1 - q}{A_q + (1 - q)} \frac{5\tau\rho}{3m\beta} \quad (38)$$

which is the new transport coefficient. This one too can have positive, $q < 1$ e $q > 1, 4$, as well as negative values, $1 < q < 1, 4$. This expression vanishes, properly, in the extensive limit, $q \rightarrow 1$.

The relation between these two coefficients is given by:

$$\frac{T_q}{K_q} = \frac{1 - q}{A_q} \quad (39)$$

The relation between the thermal conductivity and the viscosity coefficients is given by:

$$\frac{K_q}{\mu} = \frac{A_q - (1 - q)}{A_q + (1 - q)} \frac{5}{3} \quad (40)$$

So the old relation is now q dependent and is equal to the old value in the extensive limit since $A_q \rightarrow 1$ when $q \rightarrow 1$.

The coefficients obtained by Boghosian are related to those obtained here through the following relation:

$$\frac{K_q}{K_B} = \frac{T_q}{T_B} = A_q = 1 + (1 - q) \frac{3}{2} \quad (41)$$

III. CONCLUSIONS

The hydrodynamic equations obtained here are similar to those obtained by Boghosian [8]. The same changes in the energy conservation equation in first order are observed here, only that we have showed that the q -dependence of the thermal conductivity and the new transport coefficients are different. We have showed also, through the derivation of the conservation equations, that the ideal gas state equation is still valid in the

generalized statistics context.

As was said there are some differences between our results and the ones obtained by Boghosian [8]. The most important is that these differences in the q -dependence of the transport coefficients, in the thermal conductivity and in the new transport coefficient are due the difference in the state equation and in the internal energy for the ideal gas. In our result we do not have intrinsically a q -dependence, while in the Boghosian calculation they have a factor $A_q = 1 + (1 - q) \frac{3}{2}$. In order to now what result is the correct one is necessary to make some application of these transport equations. In despite of this we believe that our results are better because we obtain the correct state equation (independent of the parameter q) and we assume the validity of the classical equipartition theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank FUNCAP and CNPq (*Brazilian Agencies*) for financial supporting and Prof. M. P. Almeida and Evaldo M. F. Curado for enlightening discussions.

-
- [1] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**(1988), 479.
 - [2] C. Beck, Fractal and Solitons (2001)[condmat/0005408].
 - [3] A. R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A **174**(1993), 384.
 - [4] <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm>
 - [5] C. Tsallis, R. S. Mendes, A. R. Plastino, Physica A **261**(1998), 534.
 - [6] S. Abe, S. Martínez, F. Pennini and A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A (2001) [cond-mat/0006109]
 - [7] S. Martinez, F. Nicolás, F. Pennini and A. Plastino, Physica A **286**(2000), 489.
 - [8] B. M. Boghosian, Bras. Jour. Phys. **29**(1999), 91.
 - [9] J. A. S. Lima, R. Silva Jr. and A. R. Plastino, cond-mat/0101030
 - [10] K. Huang, "Statistical Mechanics", Wiley, New York, 1987.